# Update genomic selection including GMACE

**Gert Pedersen Aamand** 



### Status genomic prediction

- Start 2008
- First routine evaluation May 2011
- Stepwise improvement from 2011



#### Bulls with known EBVs and SNPs create the "DNA-dictionary"



The quality of the dictionary is correlated to the size of the reference population

**SNPs** 







# NAV - Routine genomic prediction January 2013

- Only data from 50K -100 euro per test
- Reference population only bulls
- GBLUP, 2 step approach
- Monthly genomic prediction
- Publication age 17 month

How will the near future look like?





# NAV - Routine genomic prediction - identified problems to solve right away

- Some instability in Feet&Legs GEBV for Holstein bulls with a lots of sons in Eurogenomic countries and lots of daughters genotyped. (We believe the problems relates to the fact that Eurogenomics bulls only have EBVs for 3 out of the 5 traits – has to analyzed further)
- GEBVs for longevity and temperament for Holstein do at the moment not fulfill Interbull validation requirements.





# NAV - Routine genomic prediction - short term

- GEBV for genotyped daughter proven bulls
- Official reliability for GEBVs
- New exchange of reference bulls Jersey
- Exchange of young bulls RDC (Geno) and Holstein (Eurogenomics) (pilot study)
- Service foreign females
- Interbull validation





# How to increase genomic reliabilities?

- Genotyping and sequencing technologies
- Statistical models



### How to increase genomic reliabilities?

#### Genotyping and sequencing technologies

7K, 9K, 50K, (700K), (Sequencing)

Handling 9K and 50K at the same time require routine imputation

- A new routine has to be implemented
- A challenge a long with monthly evaluation





# How to increase genomic reliabilities?

Genotyping and sequencing technologies

7K, 9K, 50K, (700K), (Sequencing)

Lower prices more females tested

- Females in reference population (US has 35,000 Holstein cows in ref. today Ireland expect to test 25,000 in 2013!)
- More reference animals higher reliabilities
- Largest gain smallest ref. population today
- New traits





# How to increase genomic reliabilities?

Future statistical models (<u>GBLUP</u>/SNP-BLUP, Haplotype models, Bayesian, One step)

- Handle selection (only the best bulls will be daughter proven) (One step)
- Use all phenotypes and genotypes simultaneously (One step)
- Take as much genetic information as possible out of the SNPs (Haplotype, Bayesian)





### How to look at genomic information

- Give some information about the genetic value of an animal
- Has low to medium reliability 30-60%
- Similar to information from an additional lactation of a cow or new daughters of a bull
- Advantage "expressed" early in an animals life and in both sexes





#### **Bull dam GEBVs?**

#### **Bull dam yield records**

Some discussion about potential bias in GEBV caused by bull dams own performance

- Difficult to define which records are biased
- Near future with:
  - Lots of females tested
  - Females in reference population
  - One step methods (everything solved simultaneously)





# Interbull Validation and plans for international GEBV

April 2012 Validation fat, protein, milk for HOL, RDC and JER

September 2012 Validation selected traits for HOL: SCC, NR56,

stature and longevity

October 2012 Validation all traits

September 2012 Test run for HOL for all Interbull traits

February 2013 Validation all traits and 2<sup>nd</sup> test run

August 2013 1<sup>st</sup> routine run





#### Robust GMACE

#### The method will deliver:

- GEBV for young genotyped bulls on the same scale as EBVs for the daughter proven bulls (e.g. a German genotyped bull get a GEBV on the NAV scale)
- Note direct use of the genotype in the national prediction formulas always give a GEBV with a higher reliability than a "converted" GEBV from **GMACE**





#### NAV has a lot of challenges in 2013







